#### Digestibility of phosphorus in powder and microgranular phosphate in diets for pigs

Digestibilidade do fósforo em fosfato em pó e microgranular em dietas para suínos

## ROCHA JUNIOR, Carlos Magno da<sup>1\*</sup>; TEIXEIRA, Alexandre de Oliveira<sup>†</sup>; HANNAS, Melissa Izabel<sup>†</sup><sup>†</sup>; SANTANA, Ana Lúcia Almeida<sup>1</sup>; CARVALHO, Thony Assis<sup>1</sup>; OLIVEIRA, Bárbara Lopes de<sup>1</sup>; MOREIRA, Leonardo Marmo<sup>†</sup>; BRIGHENTI, Carla Regina Guimarães<sup>†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Departamento de Zootecnia, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brasil.

†Universidade Federal de São João Del Rei, Departamento de Zootecnia, São João Del Rei, Minas Gerais, Brasil.

††Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Departamento de Zootecnia, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brasil.

\*Endereço para correspondência: carlosmagno.rocha@yahoo.com.br

#### SUMMARY

This study was realized with the objective to determine the apparent and standardized digestibilities of phosphates to pigs in growing phase, employing two methodologies. Forty-two crossbred barrows with an initial weight of  $37 \pm$ 1.1kg were used in a randomized-block design in a 2  $\times$  7 factorial arrangement, with two collection methods: total fecal collection and the use of a indicator (acid insoluble ash [AIA])  $\times$ seven treatments, which consisted of a control diet (CTR); three diets containing phosphate in powder form (PP):  $PP_A = CTR + powder$ phosphate A,  $PP_B = CTR + powder phosphate$ B, and  $PP_C = CTR + powder phosphate C;$  and another three diets containing the microgranular phosphate (MP):  $MP_{15} = CTR + microgranular$ phosphate 15%,  $MP_{45} = CTR + microgranular$ phosphate 45% and  $MP_{75} = CTR +$ microgranular phosphate 75%). The values of 15, 45, and 75% correspond to the watersolubility of the phosphates. There were six replicates, and the pig was considered as experimental unit. The standardized digestibility coefficients of the phosphates assessed by the total collection method, in percent values, were:  $PP_A = 86.9, PP_B = 84.9, PP_C = 81.9, MP_{15} =$ 89.4, MP<sub>45</sub> = 88.8, and MP<sub>75</sub> = 92.6. The standardized-digestibility coefficients of the phosphates evaluated by the indicator method (AIA) in percent values were:  $PP_A = 75.9$ ,  $PP_B =$ 75.4,  $PP_{C} = 75.6$ ,  $MP_{15} = 84.9$ ,  $MP_{45} = 78.0$ , and  $MP_{75} = 79.4$ . Considering the two methodologies evaluated in the present work, the microgranular phosphates constitute the best alternative for feeding pigs in the growth phase.

**Keywords**: fecal indicator, *in vitro* solubility, minerals, particle size

## RESUMO

Este estudo foi realizado com o objetivo de determinar as digestibilidades aparente e estandardizada de fosfatos para suínos em fase de crescimento, empregando duas metodologias. Foram usados 42 machos castrados, mestiços, com peso inicial de  $37 \pm 1,1$ kg em delineamento de blocos casualizados, em esquema fatorial 2 x 7, com dois métodos de coleta: coleta total de fezes e uso de indicador (cinza insolúvel em ácido [AIA ]) × sete tratamentos, que consistiram de uma dieta controle (CTR); três dietas contendo fosfato na forma de pó (PP): PPA = CTR + fosfato pó A, PPB = CTR + fosfato pó B, e PPC = CTR + fosfato pó C; e mais três dietas contendo o fosfato microgranular (MP): MP15 = CTR + fosfato microgranular 15%, MP45 = CTR + fosfato microgranular 45% e MP75 = CTR + fosfato microgranular 75%). Os valores de 15, 45, e 75% correspondem à solubilidade em água dos fosfatos. Foram seis repetições, e o animal foi considerado como unidade experimental. Os coeficientes de digestibilidade estandardizada dos fosfatos avaliadas pelo método de coleta total, em valores percentuais, foram: PPA = 86,9, PPB = 84,9, PPC = 81,9, MP15 = 89,4, MP45 = 88,8, e MP75 = 92,6. Os coeficientes de digestibilidade total estandardizada dos fosfatos avaliados pelo método do indicador, em valores percentuais foram: PPA = 75,9, PPB =75,4, PPC = 75,6, MP15 = 84,9, MP45 = 78,0, e MP75 = 79,4. Considerando as duas metodologias avaliadas no presente trabalho, os fosfatos microgranulares constituem a melhor alternativa para a alimentação de suínos em fase de crescimento.

**Palavras-chave**: marcador fecal, solubilidade *in vitro*, minerais, granulometria

## INTRODUCTION

Among the minerals considered the most relevant in diets for pigs today, special attention has been given to phosphorus (P), which can be found in many chemical sources, with its anion  $HPO_4^{2-}$  being the most common form (RICHERT, 2010; ANDERSON et al., 2006). Phosphorus has been recognized as one of the most important minerals in animal nutrition, and considered the third most expensive nutrient in pig diets after the energy and protein sources (FAN et al., 2008; SARAIVA et al., 2012).

Phosphorus levels above the required by pigs may result in excess P in the feces and potential environmental damages. As a consequence, the use of P in pig diets has to be optimized so as to lower the cost of the diet and the excretion of P in the feces (HANSON et al., 2012).

Diets for pigs are formulated using a large amount of ingredients of plant origin, especially corn and soybean meal, and the digestibility of these nutrients is low, because part of the P in these plants is bound to the phytic-acid anion, consequently generating the salts of the phytic acid, which is poorly digested by pigs (SELLE & RAVINDRAN, 2008; ALMEIDA & STEIN, 2012).

A source of inorganic phosphorus, which has greater availability and digestibility, is commonly added to diets for growing pigs. In this case, therefore, the inorganic P offsets the low digestibility of the P found in the form of phytate in plant-derived food sources (PETERSEN et al., 2011). Some fecal collection methods have been used to determine the digestibility of the nutrients and energy of feeds for monogastric animals. Among these methods are the total collection and the use of fecal indicator (acid insoluble ash, AIA); however, the respective obtained data are still contradictory (CARVALHO et al., 2013). Determining the digestibility by the traditional method of total excreta collection requires strict control of the intake and excretion, which causes this work to be costly (CARVALHO et al., 2013).

Thus, the objective of this study was to determine the apparent and standardized digestibility coefficients of phosphorus according to the phosphate particle sizes by applying two simultaneous methodologies.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Pig Swine Sector of the Animal Science Department of Universidade Federal de Viçosa (UFV), located in Viçosa/MG, Brazil. The experimental period was from October to December 2011.

The experimental procedures were evaluated and approved by the Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals of the Animal Science Department of UFV, protocol no. 27/2011, following the ethical principles of animal experimentation established by the College Animal Brazilian of Experimentation (COBEA, 2011).

Forty-two crossbred barrows with an initial weight of  $37 \pm 1.1$ kg were used in a randomized-block design in a  $2 \times 7$ factorial arrangement, with two collection methods: total fecal collection and the use of a indicator (acid insoluble ash [AIA]) and seven diets, which consisted of a control diet (CTR); three diets containing phosphate in powder form (PP):  $PP_A = CTR + powder$ phosphate A,  $PP_B = CTR + powder$ phosphate B, and  $PP_C = CTR + powder$ phosphate C; and another three diets containing the microgranular phosphate (MP):  $MP_{15} = CTR + microgranular$ phosphate 15%,  $MP_{45} = CTR +$ microgranular phosphate 45% and MP<sub>75</sub> = CTR + microgranular phosphate 75%). The values of 15; 45, and 75% correspond to the water-solubility of the phosphates. There were six replicates, and one pig was considered one experimental unit.

A control diet was formulated with industrial amino acids, containing 2g/kg dry matter (DM) of phosphorus to meet the nutritional requirements of pigs in the growth phase (ROSTAGNO et al., 2011), except for phosphorus (Table 1).

The treatments consisted of the addition of 2.5g of P/kg of dry matter (DM), which provided the test phosphates that were added to the control diet. All treatments contained the same amounts of phosphorus (4.5g/kg DM of total P) and calcium (7.0g/kg DM of total Ca), having a Ca:P ratio of 1.5:1. Ferric oxide was included as a marker at 0.5% of the diets to indicate the beginning and end of feces collection.

The particle size, chemical composition, and solubility data of the phosphorus (P) sources are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

The animals were individually housed in metabolic cages similar to those described by Pekas (1968). The cages were installed in a shed with concrete floor and masonry walls, provided with exhaust fans and covered with plain tiles. The digestibility trial lasted 12 days, seven of which were considered the period for the animals to acclimate to the cages and experimental diets and to measure intake aiming to determine the metabolic intake, and the other five days were used to collect the feces and urine.

The experimental diets were supplied to the animals in two meals: the first at 08:00 h and the second at 17:00 h. During the adaptation period, feed was available *ad libitum*.

During the feces- and urine-collection phase, the daily intake of the experimental diets was based on the metabolic weight ( $P^{0.75}$ ) of the animals considering the lowest intake among the animals in each block, which was determined in the acclimation phase. The feed was moistened, and after it was completely consumed, water was supplied *ad libitum*.

The feces were directly weighed and homogenized, and a sample of 20% was conditioned in plastic bags which were labeled and stored in a freezer (18°C). At the end of the experiment, the samples were thawed at room temperature, homogenized and dried in ventilated ovens at 55°C for 72 hours. Subsequently the samples were ground, stored in glass containers and labeled.

Urine was collected every 24 hours. The excreted urine was filtered through a fine nylon-mesh screen to avoid debris which was fixed at the exit of the collector (located under the cage slatted floor) and collected in three plastic buckets containing 20mL HCl 1:1 to prevent bacterial proliferation. After the urine was homogenized and the volume was measured by filtering through a fine sieve, a 150mL sample was inserted in a glass container with lid, which was labeled and stored in a

refrigerator (3°C). For the laboratory analysis, the stored urine was homogenized again, filtered through gauze, and an aliquot of 50mL was collected and placed in a plastic container with lid which was labeled and then maintained under refrigeration for subsequent analysis of phosphorus.

| Table 1. | Percent | composition | (g/kg | DM) | of | the | control | diet | and | the | diets | with | different |
|----------|---------|-------------|-------|-----|----|-----|---------|------|-----|-----|-------|------|-----------|
|          | phospha | te sources  |       |     |    |     |         |      |     |     |       |      |           |

| Ingredients                                       | CTR     | PPA     | $PP_B$  | PP <sub>C</sub> | MP <sub>15</sub> | MP <sub>45</sub> | MP <sub>75</sub> |
|---|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Pre-cooked corn                                   | 420.0   | 420.0   | 420.0   | 420.0           | 420.0            | 420.0            | 420.0            |
| Soybean meal 45%                                  | 252.1   | 252.1   | 252.1   | 252.1           | 252.1            | 252.1            | 252.1            |
| Sugar   | 20.0    | 20.0    | 20.0    | 20.0            | 20.0             | 20.0             | 20.0             |
| Pasta waste                                       | 110.0   | 110.0   | 110.0   | 110.0           | 110.0            | 110.0            | 110.0            |
| Starch  | 80.0    | 80.0    | 80.0    | 80.0            | 80.0             | 80.0             | 80.0             |
| Corn (7.88%)                                      | 60.0    | 60.0    | 60.0    | 60.0            | 60.0             | 60.0             | 60.0             |
| Soybean oil                                       | 15.0    | 15.0    | 15.0    | 15.0            | 15.0             | 15.0             | 15.0             |
| Limestone   | 5.9     | 5.9     | 5.9     | 5.9             | 5.9              | 5.9              | 5.9              |
| Salt  | 4.10    | 4.10    | 4.10    | 4.10            | 4.10             | 4.10             | 4.10             |
| Vitamin mix <sup>1</sup>                          | 2.0     | 2.0     | 2.0     | 2.0             | 2.0              | 2.0              | 2.0              |
| Mineral mix <sup>2</sup>                          | 1.0     | 1.0     | 1.0     | 1.0             | 1.0              | 1.0              | 1.0              |
| L-lysine  | 3.7     | 3.7     | 3.7     | 3.7             | 3.7              | 3.7              | 3.7              |
| DL-methionine                                     | 0.6     | 0.6     | 0.6     | 0.6             | 0.6              | 0.6              | 0.6              |
| L-threonine                                       | 5.0     | 5.0     | 5.0     | 5.0             | 5.0              | 5.0              | 5.0              |
| Choline chloride                                  | 5.0     | 5.0     | 5.0     | 5.0             | 5.0              | 5.0              | 5.0              |
| BHT   | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1             | 0.1              | 0.1              | 0.1              |
| Acid-insoluble ash (AIA) -<br>Celite <sup>®</sup> | 10.0    | 10.0    | 10.0    | 10.0            | 10.0             | 10.0             | 10.0             |
| Starch  | 14.4    | 0.7     | 0.5     | 1.8             | 1.3              | 1.9              | 2.6              |
| Phosphates <sup>3</sup>                           |         | 13.7    | 13.9    | 12.6            | 13.1             | 12.5             | 11.8             |
| Total   | 1,000.0 | 1,000.0 | 1,000.0 | 1,000.0         | 1,000.0          | 1,000.0          | 1,000.0          |
| Calculated composition                            |         |         |         |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Crude protein                                     | 170.0   | 170.0   | 170.0   | 170.0           | 170.0            | 170.0            | 170.0            |
| ME (Kcal/kg)                                      | 3,347   | 3,341   | 3,341   | 3,341           | 3,341            | 3,341            | 3,341            |
| Digestible lysine                                 | 10.49   | 10.49   | 10.49   | 10.49           | 10.49            | 10.49            | 10.49            |
| Digestible met. + cys.                            | 5.47    | 5.47    | 5.47    | 5.47            | 5.47             | 5.47             | 5.47             |
| Digestible threonine                              | 6.03    | 6.03    | 6.03    | 6.03            | 6.03             | 6.03             | 6.03             |
| Sodium  | 1.8     | 1.8     | 1.8     | 1.8             | 1.8              | 1.8              | 1.8              |
| Calcium   | 3.0     | 7.0     | 7.0     | 7.0             | 7.0              | 7.0              | 7.0              |
| Phosphorus  | 2.0     | 4.5     | 4.5     | 4.5             | 4.5              | 4.5              | 4.5              |

<sup>1</sup>Composition per kg: vit. A - 9,000,000 IU; vit. D3 - 1,500,000 IU; vit. E - 10,000 IU; vit. B1, 2g; vit. B2, 5g; vit. B6 - 30g; pantothenic acid - 25g; vit. K3 - 4g; vit. B12 - 40mg; nicotinic acid - 40g; antioxidant - 30g; selenium - 23mg; excipient q.s. - 1,000g.

<sup>2</sup>Composition per kg: Fe - 180g; Cu - 20g; Co - 4g; Mn - 80g; Zn - 140g; I - 4g; excipient q.s. - 1,000g. <sup>3</sup>Inclusion of the following phosphates:  $PP_A =$  powder phosphate A;  $PP_B =$  powder phosphate B;  $PP_C =$  powder phosphate C;  $MP_{15} =$  microgranular phosphate 15%;  $MP_{45} =$  microgranular phosphate 45% and  $MP_{75} =$  microgranular phosphate 75%.

| Siava number diameter   | Unit       |        |        | Phosphor        | us sources       | 2                |                  |
|-------------------------|------------|--------|--------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Sieve number - diameter | Unit       | PPA    | $PP_B$ | PP <sub>C</sub> | MP <sub>15</sub> | MP <sub>45</sub> | MP <sub>75</sub> |
| # 09 - 2.00 mm          | % retained | 0.07   | 0.02   | 0               | 0                | 0                | 0                |
| # 12 - 1.41 mm          | % retained | 0.61   | 0.34   | 0.16            | 3.6              | 4.8              | 1.37             |
| # 20 - 0.84 mm          | % retained | 2.17   | 3.18   | 9.97            | 17.5             | 22.1             | 30.1             |
| # 35 - 0.50 mm          | % retained | 5.4    | 10.3   | 29              | 30.3             | 26.9             | 54.5             |
| # 60 - 0.25 mm          | % retained | 8.7    | 13.1   | 20.5            | 17.2             | 17.5             | 11.3             |
| # 100 - 0.15 mm         | % retained | 12     | 14.7   | 8.21            | 8.3              | 13               | 1.79             |
| GMD                     | μm         | 415.07 | 438.33 | 634.66          | 767.51           | 756.08           | 944.68           |

Table 2. Distribution of particle size and geometric mean diameter (GMD) of the phosphorus sources<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Analyses conducted at the laboratory of Rodes Química Cajati LTDA in Cajati/SP.

 ${}^{2}PP_{A}$  = powder phosphate A;  $PP_{B}$  = powder phosphate B;  $PP_{C}$  = powder phosphate C;  $MP_{15}$  = microgranular phosphate 15%;  $MP_{45}$  = microgranular phosphate 45% and  $MP_{75}$  = microgranular phosphate 75%.

Table 3. Chemical composition, solubility and ratio between minerals in the phosphorus sources

| Chemical composition<br>Total P |       |        |        | Phosphorus      | sources <sup>1</sup> |                  |                  |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Chemical composition            | Unit  | PPA    | PPB    | PP <sub>C</sub> | MP <sub>15</sub>     | MP <sub>45</sub> | MP <sub>75</sub> |
| Total P                         | %     | 18.3   | 18.0   | 19.9            | 19.1                 | 20.0             | 21               |
| Cit. acid 2%-soluble P          | %     | 93.0   | 84.2   | 94.6            | 89.1                 | 94               | 88.8             |
| Water-soluble P                 | %     | 8.0    | 12.0   | 4.0             | 15                   | 45               | 75               |
| Ca                              | %     | 20.8   | 24.0   | 23.6            | 21.1                 | 18.4             | 14.7             |
| Fe                              | %     | 0.43   | 0.72   | 1.13            | 0.44                 | 0.58             | 0.82             |
| Mg                              | %     | 2.16   | 4.45   | 0.45            | 2.26                 | 1.4              | 0.63             |
| Ca:P                            |       | 1.14:1 | 1.33:1 | 1.19:1          | 1.1:1                | 0.92:1           | 0.71:1           |
| P:F                             |       | 135:1  | 130:1  | 221:1           | 143:1                | 69:1             | 160:1            |
| F                               | mg/kg | 1.358  | 1.388  | 900             | 1.338                | 2.900            | 1.309            |
| Mn                              | mg/kg | 687    | 618    | 544             | 700                  | 469              | 270              |
| Zn                              | mg/kg | 30.5   | 72.7   | 150             | 32.7                 | 36               | 92.7             |
| Cu                              | mg/kg | 16.4   | 81.7   | 115             | 15.2                 | 40               | 9.07             |
| Со                              | mg/kg | 15.6   | 22.9   | 21.1            | 14.2                 | 9.2              | 9.97             |

 $^{1}PP_{A}$  = powder phosphate A;  $PP_{B}$  = powder phosphate B;  $PP_{C}$  = powder phosphate C;  $MP_{15}$  = microgranular phosphate 15%;  $MP_{45}$  = microgranular phosphate 45% and  $MP_{75}$  = microgranular phosphate 75%.

The mineral contents in the phosphates were determined and the solubility tests were performed at the laboratory of Rodes Química Cajati Ltda., in Cajati/SP, according to methodologies described by the Brazilian Animal Feeding Compendium (CBAA, 2009). The dry matter (DM), ash and fecal

indicator were analyzed at the laboratory of Animal Nutrition of the

Animal Science Department (DZO) of UFV according to the methodologies described by CBAA (2009).

The geometric mean diameter (GMD) of the particles of the phosphates were determined from the analyses conducted at the laboratory of Química Cajati Ltda, in Cajati/SP, according to the methodology described by Butolo (2010), with 2.00, 1.41, 0.84, 0.50, 0.25,

and 0.15 mm sieves. The amounts retained in the sieves were recorded for the calculation of the GMD.

The environment temperature was recorded by analogical daily thermometers of maximum and minimum temperature, and the relative air humidity was determined using a dry and wet analogical thermometer. bulb The thermometers of maximum and minimum temperatures were placed at two different points of the shed, and the dry and wetbulb thermometer was installed in the of the middle part shed A11 thermometers were installed in an environment external to the cages at half height of the body of the animals.

The intakes of feed (g), dry matter (g) and total P from the control diet and

treatments (g) were determined. The phosphorus supplied through the control diet and the treatments (%), the P content in the diets and feces (%), the P excretion (g), the indigestibility factor, the excreted P from control diet and treatments (%), the ash, and the acid insoluble ash (AIA) were also determined. These data were used in the adapted equations by Petersen & Stein (2006) and Rostagno & Featherston (1977) to determine the apparent digestibility coefficients of P, from the treatments bv the two methods evaluated in the present study. 1-Total-collection method:

\*Apparent fecal digestibility (AFD) of P (PETERSEN & STEIN, 2006).

AFD (%) = ((P intake (g) – P feces (g)  $\times$  100))/ P intake (g)

2-Acid-insoluble ash (ASH) indicator method:

\*Indigestibility factor (IF): IF = % AIA diet/% AIA feces \*Apparent fecal digestibility (AFD) of P (adapted from ROSTAGNO & FEATHERSTON, 1977).

AFD of P (%) = ((% P diet – (% P feces × IF)) × 100)/% P diet

concentration of standardized The phosphorus (P) of digestible the treatments should be determined by correction of applying the the endogenous Р excreted and transforming the apparent digestible P content into standardized digestible P content (ROSTAGNO et al., 2011).

The standardized fecal digestibility (SFD) values were calculated by the correction of the endogenous losses of the control diet (BAKER, 2011). The endogenous losses of the control diet

utilized for the calculation of the standardized fecal digestibility (SFD) of the P in the diets and in the phosphates were 258 mg P/kg of ingested dry matter (ROSTAGNO et al., 2011). The standardized fecal digestibility (SFD) values of P are calculated by subtracting the fraction corresponding to the basal endogenous losses from the total P output, according to the following equation (ALMEIDA & STEIN, 2010):

SFD of P (%) = 
$$[(Pi - (Pf - Pe))/Pi] \times 100$$
,

Where Pi = Total P intake, as g; Pf = P excreted in feces, as g; Pe = basal endogenous losses as grams per day

(g/d) or as grams (g) in the experimental period set.

The retained P values were calculated

by the following equation (PETERSEN & STEIN, 2006):

 $Pr = ([Pi - {Pf + Pu}]/Pi) \times 100,$ 

Where Pr = retained P, as %; Pu = P excreted in urine, as g.

The parameters were statistically analyzed using the SAEG program version 9.1 (UFV, 2001), and the data were subjected to variance analysis. Means of the main factors and interactions were compared by Tukey's test at 5%.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The maximum and minimum temperatures recorded during the experimental period were  $26.1 \pm 2.35$  and  $21.9 \pm 1.19$  °C, respectively, and the average relative air humidity was  $86.4 \pm 6.92\%$ .

According to Baêta (1997), the minimum and maximum temperatures, which correspond to the thermal comfort zone for the growth phase, would be 18 and 26°C, respectively. Thus, in the present study, the maximum temperature (26.1  $\pm$ 2.35°C) was observed to be at the limit of the comfort zone (upper limit of 26°C). However, such values are below the upper critical temperature, which, for this category, is 27°C. The observed average relative air humidity (86.4  $\pm$  6.92%) was higher than the recommended parameters for rearing pigs (60 to 80%) (NÄÄS, 1997).

It was observed that there are differences in GMD among the powder phosphates from the different manufacturers and among the microgranular phosphates. The dicalcium phosphates in powder form, except  $PP_C$ , had a greater percentage of grains below 0.15mm. Thus, the fineness of the dicalcium phosphate should also be taken into account, given that this parameter affects the digestibility of the P element (POTTER, 1988).

The lowest calcium: phosphorus ratio was observed in MP75. The highest concentration of fluorine was found in MP<sub>45</sub>. The ancillary elements present in the phosphates can vary significantly depending of the type of product, or even in a single type of phosphate, depending on the phosphate rock used in the industry and/or its manufacturing route (CHEN et al., 2007). Analyzing different commercial brands, Teixeira et al. (2005) found large variations in the mineral profile of phosphates commercialized in Brazil.

The intake dry matter and digestibility values according to the particle size and solubility of the phosphorus sources can be seen in Table 4. The phosphorus sources did not affect (P > 0.05) the dry matter digestibility coefficient.

Table 4. Apparent dry matter digestibility coefficient (DMDC) by total collection method in the diets<sup>1</sup>

| Doromotoro       | Phosphorus sources <sup>2</sup> |       |       |                 |       |                  |                  |                  |       |      |  |
|------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|------|--|
| Parameters       | CTR                             | PPA   | PPB   | PP <sub>C</sub> | Mean  | MP <sub>15</sub> | MP <sub>45</sub> | MP <sub>75</sub> | Mean  | (%)  |  |
| DM intake (kg/d) | 1,279                           | 1,272 | 1,268 | 1,263           | 1,268 | 1,250            | 1,254            | 1,278            | 1,252 | 8.5  |  |
| Feces (g/d)      | 78.1                            | 66.7  | 73.7  | 70.9            | 70.4  | 73.0             | 64.4             | 68.0             | 66.7  | 16.8 |  |
| DMDC (%)         | 93.9                            | 94.7  | 94.2  | 94.3            | 94.41 | 94.1             | 94.8             | 94.7             | 94.6  | 0.9  |  |

 $^{1}(P < 0.05)$  Means followed by different letters in the row differ by Tukey's test.

 ${}^{2}PP_{A}$  = powder phosphate A;  $PP_{B}$  = powder phosphate B;  $PP_{C}$  = powder phosphate C;  $MP_{15}$  = microgranular phosphate 15%;  $MP_{45}$  = microgranular phosphate 45% and  $MP_{75}$  = microgranular phosphate 75%.

The results obtained herein were different from those reported by Lindemann et al. (2010), who found a DMDC of 91.7% working with pigs in the growth phase. Dilger & Adeola (2006) found high DMDC values for

pigs in the same phase, varying from 94.1% to 97.3%.

The results of the trial evaluating the digestibility of the phosphorus in the phosphate according to the collection methodology adopted are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Parameters related to the metabolism of the phosphorus from different phosphates and coefficients of apparent fecal digestibility (AFD) and standardized fecal digestibility (SFD) by the methods of total collection and fecal indicator<sup>1</sup>

|                    |         |      |                   |                   | Treat             | tments            |                  |                  |                  |                   | CV   |
|--------------------|---------|------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------|
| Parameters         | Unit    | CTR  | PPA               | $PP_B$            | PP <sub>C</sub>   | Mean              | MP <sub>15</sub> | MP <sub>45</sub> | MP <sub>75</sub> | Mea<br>n          | (%)  |
| Initial weight     | Kg      | 37.4 | 37.2              | 37.1              | 37.2              | 37.1              | 36.4             | 36.9             | 36.5             | 36.6              | 20.7 |
| P intake           | g/d     | 2.6  | 5.8               | 5.7               | 5.5               | 5.7               | 5.7              | 5.7              | 5.9              | 5.8               | 7.6  |
| P feces            | g/d     | 1.7  | 2.5               | 2.6               | 2.5               | 2.5 <sup>A</sup>  | 2.4              | 2.1              | 2.1              | 2.2 <sup>B</sup>  | 14.8 |
| P urine            | g/d     | 0.1  | 0.1               | 0.1               | 0.1               | 0.1               | 0.1              | 0.1              | 0.1              | 0.1               | 64.3 |
| Endogenous P       | g/d     | 0.3  | 0.3               | 0.3               | 0.3               | 0.3               | 0.3              | 0.3              | 0.3              | 0.3               | 8.7  |
| Retained P         | %       | 35.0 | 56.2              | 55.1              | 54.8              | 55.4 <sup>B</sup> | 56.8             | 61.3             | 62.0             | 60.0 <sup>A</sup> | 11.8 |
| Total fecal collec | tion me | thod |                   |                   |                   |                   |                  |                  |                  |                   |      |
| AFD diet           | %       | 35.6 | 57.4              | 56.0              | 55.6              | 56.3 <sup>B</sup> | 57.7             | 62.3             | 62.8             | 60.9 <sup>A</sup> | 11.2 |
| SFD diet           | %       | 46.8 | 62.4              | 61.0              | 60.6              | 61.3 <sup>B</sup> | 62.6             | 67.3             | 67.8             | 65.9 <sup>A</sup> | 10.4 |
| SFD<br>phosphates  | %       | -    | 86.9              | 84.9              | 81.9              | 84.6 <sup>B</sup> | 89.4             | 88.8             | 92.6             | 90.3 <sup>A</sup> | 10.1 |
| Fecal indicator m  | ethod   |      |                   |                   |                   |                   |                  |                  |                  |                   |      |
| AFD diet           | %       | 38.6 | 61.3 <sup>a</sup> | 55.8 <sup>b</sup> | 53.3 <sup>b</sup> | 56.8 <sup>B</sup> | 65.5             | 62.2             | 65.1             | 64.3 <sup>A</sup> | 8.8  |
| SFD diet           | %       | 44.4 | 63.6 <sup>a</sup> | 58.3 <sup>b</sup> | 56.0 <sup>b</sup> | 59.3 <sup>B</sup> | 67.8             | 64.4             | 67.1             | 66.4 <sup>A</sup> | 8.4  |
| SFD<br>phosphates  | %       | -    | 75.9              | 75.4              | 75.6              | 75.6 <sup>B</sup> | 84.9             | 78.0             | 79.4             | 80.8 <sup>A</sup> | 8.3  |

 $^{1}$ (P<0.05) Means followed by different lowercase letters in the row differ by Tukey's test, among the means of powder or microgranular phosphates. Means followed by different uppercase letters in the same row differ by Tukey's test among the means of powder or microgranular phosphates.

There was no interaction (P>0.05) between the phosphorus sources and the collection methodologies utilized in this experiment.

Several parameters were not affected (P>0.05) by the phosphorus sources, e.g. P intake, P excreted in the feces and urine, endogenous P, retained P, apparent fecal digestibility of P, or standardized fecal digestibility of P. These parameters were determined in the diets by the total fecal collection method.

The phosphorus intake by the animals that consumed the control diet was, on average, 2.6g/d. For the treatments that included a supplemental source of P from the powder phosphates and from the microgranular phosphates, the P intake was 5.7 and 5.8g/d, respectively. These average results of 5.7 and 5.8g/d were similar to those obtained by Stein et al. (2008), who worked with pigs weighing 22kg, on average, using monocalcium phosphate with 15.6% Ca and 21.0% P, and obtained an average P

intake of 5.2g/d. Those results are associated with the level of P in the diet (TEIXEIRA et al., 2004).

The amount phosphorus excreted in the feces was found to decrease between the powder and microgranular phosphate treatments (P<0.05), with the lowest excretion occurring when the phosphate was in microgranular form.

The phosphorus excreted in the urine was not affected (P>0.05) by the supplemental P sources, which is in disagreement with the data presented by Teixeira et al. (2004) and Bünzen (2009), who observed that the P content in the feces and urine increased with the level of P in the diet.

The estimated endogenous P values were similar (P>0.05) among the treatments. The results are close to those shown by Jongbloed et al. (1992), who reported that the endogenous fecal P for pigs varies from 5 to 10mg P/kg of live weight/d.

Working with 31kg pigs, Dilger & Adeola (2006), obtained endogenous losses of 4.8mg/(kg  $P^{0.75} \times day$ ), similar to the 9 and  $7mg/(kg P^{0.75} \times day)$  found by Pettey et al. (2006) with animals weighing 27 and 59kg, respectively. These values were lower than the 28 and 56mg/(kg  $P^{0.75} \times day$ ) obtained by Fan et al. (2001) and Ajakaiye et al. (2003) with 14- and 49-kg animals, respectively. This value oscillates among the observed values of 139, 174, 232, 258 and 310mg P/kg DM intake by diets animals fed without Р (PETERSEN & STEIN, 2006; BAKER, 2011: **TEIXEIRA** et al., 2004; BÜNZEN, 2009; FAN et al., 2001).

The retained P was lower (P<0.05) in the animals that consumed CTR compared with other treatments. It was positively correlated with the P intake, i.e., the increase in retained P is directly proportional to its intake. The standardized digestibility of the phosphorus from the control diet (CTR) was 46.8 and 44.4 for the totalcollection and fecal-indicator methods, respectively. Dilger & Adeola (2006) 46.5% found for the apparent digestibility of a diet without an inorganic P source. This value was determined using the fecal-indicator method, with chromic oxide, in 18kg pigs. Almeida & Stein (2012) evaluated the digestibility of corn in diets for pigs in the growth phase with an initial weight of 18.2kg and obtained an apparent digestibility of P of 33.5% and standardized fecal digestibility of 40.9%. A study conducted with pigs with an initial body weight of 14kg fed a diet based on soybean meal, corn starch and sucrose reported apparent and standardized fecal digestibilities of 41.6 and 46.1%, respectively (ROJAS & STEIN, 2012).

Working with pigs with an initial weight of 9.2kg fed a diet based on corn starch, whey powder and sucrose, the results for apparent and standardized fecal digestibilities of P were 84.3% and 91.2%, respectively (KIM et al., 2012).

In an experiment with pigs with an initial body weight of 27kg, Petersen & Stein (2006) found apparent and true digestibility values of 81.49 and 88.41%, respectively, but they used a diet containing 30% gelatin, 40% corn starch and 19% sucrose.

The standardized digestibility coefficients of phosphates evaluated by the total collection method, as percentage (%), were  $PP_A = 86.9$ ,  $PP_B = 84.9$ ,  $PP_C = 81.9$ ,  $MP_{15} = 89.4$ ,  $MP_{45} = 88.8$ , and  $MP_{75} = 92.6$ .

By the fecal-indicator methodology, there were differences (P<0.05) between the apparent fecal digestibility of P and the standardized digestibility of P in the diets containing powder phosphate from different companies, with greater values (P < 0.05) observed for  $PP_A$  compared with the other two.

The standardized fecal digestibility coefficients of the phosphates were greater in the present study than to those obtained by several authors who worked with pigs in the growth phase. More precisely, these authors found apparent values digestibility for dicalcium phosphate of 63% (EEKHOUT & De PAEPE, 1996) and 66% (KEMME et al., 1993). However, Bünzen (2009) found apparent and true digestibility coefficients of P of 74.65 and 74.79%, respectively, in a dicalcium phosphate with 18.5% total P.

Working with pigs in the growth phase (with an average weight of 28.95kg) with the isotopic-dilution technique, Teixeira et al. (2004) found 89.44% for the bioavailability of P from dicalcium phosphate, which contained 18.66% P. In a study conducted with pigs whose initial weight was 34.9kg evaluating dicalcium phosphate with 19.77% P, the apparent fecal digestibility was 86.1%, and the standardized digestibility was 93.1% (BAKER, 2011). Thus, there are variations among the different phosphates and in the same product from different origins. These attributed differences are to the composition, the processing and the physical structure in which the evaluated phosphates are presented (BÜNZEN, 2009).

The total fecal collection method showed the highest values (P<0.05) for the standardized digestibility coefficients of the phosphates compared with the use of fecal indicator. Additionally, lower variability of the data can be observed when the fecal indicator acid-insoluble ash (AIA) is used. Such results are corroborated by the data obtained by Kavanagh et al. (2001), who, evaluating growing pigs, verified that AIA is a fast and reliable indicator to measure digestibility, serving as an alternative to the total collection method. However, Bünzen (2005), working with total collection or chromic oxide as fecal indicator, did not obtain differences evaluating the digestibility coefficients of P in different phosphates.

By the two studied methods, it can be observed that the particle size affected (P < 0.05) the P in the feces, the retained P and the apparent and standardized digestibilities. Jongbloed fecal & Kemme (1990) did not observe significant differences regarding the influence of particle size on the digestibility of the P from diets to swine based on corn and soybean meal.

The standardized digestibility coefficients of the phosphates evaluated by the indicator (AIA) method, as percentage (%) were:  $PP_A = 75.9$ ,  $PP_B = 75.4$ ,  $PP_C = 75.6$ ,  $MP_{15} = 84.9$ ,  $MP_{45} = 78.0$  and  $MP_{75} = 79.4$ .

The lower digestibility coefficients obtained for the powder phosphates in relation to the microgranular phosphates evaluated in the current study are likely related to a greater water-solubility of the mono-dicalcium phosphate in relation to dicalcium phosphate (BUNZEN, 2005). Undoubtedly, phosphates highly soluble in water cannot be commercialized in powder form due to their chemical instability, which can cause embedment of the mineral mix.

The standardized fecal digestibility values of the different phosphates evaluated were different between the methods employed, but both methodologies can be used to determine the digestibility of this mineral in diets for pigs.

Considering the two methodologies evaluated in the present work, the microgranular phosphates are the best alternative for feeding pigs in the growth phase.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank FAPEMIG for financing the project and the staff from the Department of Animal Science/UFSJ and from the Department of Animal Science/UFV involved in this study.

#### REFERENCES

AJAKAIYE, A.; FAN, M.Z.; ARCHBOLD, T.; HACKER, R.R.; FORSBERG, C.W.; PHILLIPS, J.P. Determination of true digestive utilization of phosphorus and the endogenous phosphorus outputs associated with soybean meal of growing pigs. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.81, p.2766–2775, 2003.

ALMEIDA, F.N.; STEIN, H.H. Performance and phosphorus balance of pigs fed diets formulated on the basis of values for standardized total tract digestibility of phosphorus. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.88, p.2968–2977, 2010.

ALMEIDA, F.N.; STEIN, H.H. Effects of graded levels of microbial phytase on the standardized total tract digestibility of phosphorus in corn and corn coproducts fed to pigs. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.90, p.1262–1269, 2012.

ANDERSON, J.J.B.; KLEMMER, P.J.; WATTS, M.L.S.; GARNER, S.C.; CALVO, M.S. Phosphorus. In: BOWMAN, B.A.; RUSSELL, R.M. (Eds.). **Present Knowledge in Nutrition**. Washington: Life Sciences Inst., 2006. p.383-400.

BAÊTA, F.C. **Ambiência em edificações rurais: conforto animal**. Viçosa, MG: Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 1997. 246p. BAKER, S.R. Aspects of phosphorus nutrition in swine. 2011. 105p. Thesis (Master of Science in Animal Sciences) -University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign.

BÜNZEN, S. **Digestibilidade aparente** e verdadeira do fósforo de alimentos determinada com suínos em crescimento e em terminação. 2005. 72p. Dissertação (Mestrado em Nutrição de Monogástrico) - Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa.

BÜNZEN, S. **Digestibilidade do fósforo de alimentos e exigência de fósforo digestível de aves e suínos**. 2009. 129p. Tese (Doutorado em Nutrição de Monogástrico) -Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa.

BUTOLO, J.E. **Qualidade de ingredientes na alimentação animal**. Campinas, SP: CBNA, 2010. 430p.

COMPÊNDIO BRASILEIRO DE ALIMENTAÇÃO ANIMAL - CBAA. **Guia de métodos analíticos**. São Paulo: Sindirações, 2009. 217p.

CARVALHO, G.B.; DOURADO, L.R.B.; LOPES, J.B.; FERREIRA, A.H.C.; RIBEIRO, M.N.; SILVA, S.R.G.; MERVAL, R.R.; BIAGIOTTI, D.; SILVA, F.E.S. Métodos de análise da cinza insolúvel em ácido utilizada como indicador na determinação da energia metabolizável do milho para aves. **Revista Brasileira de Saúde e Produção Animal** [online], v.14, p.43-53, 2013.

CHEN, W.; CHANG, A.C.; WU, L. Assessing long-term environmental risks of trace elements in phosphate fertilizers. **Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety**, v.67, p.48-58, 2007. COLÉGIO BRASILEIRO DE EXPERIMENTAÇÃO ANIMAL -COBEA. **Animais de laboratório**. Disponível em: <http://www.cobea.org.br>. Acesso em: 05 dez. 2011.

DILGER, R.N.; ADEOLA, O. Estimation of true phosphorus digestibility and endogenous phosphorus loss in growing pigs fed conventional and low-phytate soybean meals. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.84, p.627–634, 2006.

EEKHOUT, W.; De PAEPE, M. The digestibility of three calcium phosphates for pigs as measured by difference and by slope-ratio assay. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition, v.77, p.53–60, 1997.

FAN, M.Z.; ARCHBOLD, T.; SAUER, W.C.; LACKEYRAM, D.; RIDEOUT, T.; GAO, Y.; DE LANGE; C.F.M.; HACKER, R.R. Novel methodology allows simultaneous measurement of true phosphorus digestibility and gastrointestinal endogenous phosphorus outputs in studies with pigs. **Journal of Nutrition**, v.131, p.2388–2396, 2001.

HANSON, A.R.; XU, G.; LI, M.; WHITNEY, M.H.; SHURSON, G.C. Impact of dried distillers grains with solubles (DDGS) and diet formulation method on dry matter, calcium, and phosphorus retention and excretion in nursery pigs. **Animal Feed Science and Technology**, v.172, p.187-193, 2012.

JONGBLOED, A.W.; KEMME, P.A. Apparent digestible phosphorus in the feeding of pigs in relation to availability, requirement and environment. Digestible phosphorus in feedstuffs from plant and animal origin. **Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science**, v.38, p.567–75, 1990. JONGBLOED, A.W.; MROZ, Z.; KEMME, P.A. The effect of suplementary Aspergilus niger phytase in diets for pigs on concentration and apparent digestibility of dry matter, total phosphorus, and phytic acid in different sections of the alimentary tract. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.70, p.1159-1168, 1992.

KAVANAGH, S.; LYNCH, P.B; MARA, O.F.; CAFREY, P.J.A. Comparison of total collection and marker technique for the measurement of apparent digestibility of diets for growing pigs. **Animal Feed Science and Technology**, v.89, p.49-58, 2001.

KEMME, P.A.; JONGBLOED, A.W.;
PEET, G.F.V. Em: Stikstof en fosfor in de voeding van eenmagige landbouwhuisdieren in relatie tot de milieu-problematiek. Kwaliteitsreeks nr.
25. Productschap voor Veevoeder. Den Haag, Nederland, 1993.

KIM, B.G.; LEE, J.W.E.; STEIN, H.H. Energy concentration and phosphorus digestibility in whey powder, whey permeate, and low-ash whey permeate fed to weanling pigs. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.90, p.289–295, 2012.

LINDEMANN, M.D.; QUANT, A.D.; MONEGUE, J.S.; WANG, M.; CROMWELL, G.L.; NEWMAN, M.C. Evaluation of antibiotic effects on phosphorus digestibility and utilization by growing-finishing pigs fed a phosphorusdeficient, corn-soybean meal diet. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.88, p.1752-1758, 2010.

NÄÄS, I.A. Técnicas modernas para melhorar a produtividade dos suínos através do controle ambiental. In: SEMINÁRIO INTERNACIONAL DE SUINOCULTURA, 2., 1997, Campinas, SP. **Anais...** Campinas: Universidade de Campinas, 1997. p.19-26. PEKAS, J.C. Versatile swine laboratory apparatus for physiologic and metabolic studies. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.27, p.1303-1306, 1968.

PETERSEN, G.I.; PEDERSEN, C.; LINDEMANN, M.D.; STEIN, H.H. Relative bioavailability of phosphorus in inorganic phosphorus sources fed to growing pigs. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.89, p.460–466, 2011.

PETERSEN, G.I.; STEIN, H.H. Novel procedure for estimating endogenous losses and measurement of apparent and true digestibility of phosphorus by growing pigs. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.84, p.2126–2132, 2006.

PETTEY, L. A.; CROMWELL, G.L.; LINDEMANN, M.D. Estimation of endogenous phosphorus loss in growing and finishing pigs fed semipurified diets. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.84, p.618–626, 2006.

POTTER, L.M. Bioavailability of phosphorus from various phosphates based on body weights and toe ash measurements. **Poultry Science**, v.67, p.96–102, 1988.

RICHERT. Macro minerals for swine diets. National Swine Nutrition Guide. 2010. Avialable in:

<http://www.usporkcenter.org/FileLibra ry/External/USPCE/NSNG/NSNG-Macro-

minerals%20for%20Swine%20Diets(1). pdf>.

ROJAS, O.J.; STEIN, H.H. Digestibility of Phosphorus by Growing Pigs of Fermented and Conventional Soybean Meal without and With Microbial Phytase. Journal of Animal Science, v.90, p.1506–1512, 2012. ROSTAGNO, H.S.; ALBINO, L.F.T.; DONZELE, J.L.; GOMES, P.C.; OLIVEIRA, R.F.M.; LOPES, D.C.; SOARES, A.F.; BARRETO, S.L.T. **Tabelas brasileiras para aves e suínos**. Viçosa, MG: Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 2011. 252p.

ROSTAGNO, H.S.; FEATHERSTON, W.R. Estudos de Métodos para a Determinação da Disponibilidade de aminoácidos em pintos. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.6, p.64–76, 1997.

SARAIVA, A.; DONZELE, J.L.; OLIVEIRA, R.F.M.; ABREU, M.L.T.; SILVA, F.C.O.; GUIMARÃES, E.F.; KIM, S.W. Phosphorus requirements for 60- to 100-kg pigs selected for high lean deposition under different thermal environments. Journal of Animal Science, v.90, p.1499–1505, 2012.

SELLE, P.H.; RAVINDRAN, V. Phytate-degrading enzymes in pig nutrition. **Livestock Science**, v.113, p.99–122, 2008.

SHURSON, J.; POMERENKE, J. Use of US DDGS in practical swine diet formulations. University of Minnesota. International Distillers Grains Conference. 2008. Disponível em: <http://www.thepigsite.com/articles/262 9/use-of-us-ddgs-in-practical-swinediet-formulations>. Acessado em: 12 ago. 2013.

STEIN, H.H.; KADZERE, C.T.; KIM, S.W.; MILLER, P.S. Influence of dietary phosphorus concentration on the digestibility of phosphorus in monocalcium phosphate by growing pigs. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.86, p.1861–1867, 2008. Rev. Bras. Saúde Prod. Anim., Salvador, v.16, n.3, p.544-557 jul./set.., 2015 <u>http://www.rbspa.ufba.br</u> ISSN 1519 9940

TEIXEIRA, A.O.; LOPES, D.C.; GOMES, P.C.; LOPES, J.B.; COSTA, L.F.; FERREIRA, V.P.A.; PENA, S.M.; MOREIRA, J.A. Níveis de substituição do fosfato bicálcico pelo monobicálcico em dietas para suínos nas fases de crescimento e terminação. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.34, p.142-150, 2005.

TEIXEIRA, A.O.; LOPES, D.C.; LOPES, J.B.; VITTI, M.S.S.; GOMES, P.C.; ROSTAGNO, H.S.; MOREIRA, J.A.; INÁCIO, F. Determinação da biodisponibilidade do fósforo de diferentes fontes por intermédio da técnica de diluição isotópica, com suínos em crescimento. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.33, p.1231-1237, 2004.

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE VIÇOSA - UFV. **Sistema para Análises Estatísticas e Genética – SAEG**. Versão 8.0. Viçosa, MG: Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 2000.

Data de recebimento: 16/04/2014 Data de aprovação: 27/08/2015